

Physical and chemical methods of tooth whitening

Aziza Kuvandikovna Kurbanova

kurbanovas.az@gmail.com

EMU University

Abstract: Tooth discoloration is a common aesthetic concern that can result from intrinsic and extrinsic factors, including dietary habits, smoking, aging, medication use, and enamel defects. Advances in dental science have led to the development of various tooth whitening methods, which can be broadly classified into physical and chemical techniques. Physical whitening methods, such as abrasive polishing, micro-abrasion, and ultrasonic scaling, aim to mechanically remove extrinsic stains from the enamel surface. Chemical whitening, on the other hand, relies on oxidation-reduction reactions, primarily using hydrogen peroxide or carbamide peroxide, to lighten tooth color by breaking down chromogenic molecules within the enamel and dentin. This review systematically examines and compares physical and chemical tooth whitening approaches in terms of efficacy, safety, longevity of results, and patient satisfaction. Special emphasis is placed on the mechanisms of action, potential side effects, and clinical protocols recommended in evidence-based dentistry. The findings suggest that while chemical methods provide more significant and long-lasting whitening, physical methods remain valuable for immediate stain removal and enamel polishing. The integration of both approaches in a personalized treatment plan may yield optimal aesthetic outcomes while preserving oral health.

Keywords: tooth whitening, physical methods, chemical bleaching, hydrogen peroxide, carbamide peroxide, micro-abrasion, enamel polishing, dental aesthetics, intrinsic stains, extrinsic stains

Introduction

Tooth color plays a significant role in facial aesthetics and self-confidence, making tooth whitening one of the most requested cosmetic dental procedures worldwide. Discoloration can occur due to a combination of intrinsic and extrinsic factors. Extrinsic stains are often caused by dietary chromogens (such as coffee, tea, red wine, and colored spices), tobacco use, and poor oral hygiene, leading to the accumulation of pigments on the enamel surface. Intrinsic discoloration, in contrast, originates within the tooth structure and may result from aging, fluorosis, tetracycline staining, pulp necrosis, or genetic enamel defects.

In modern dentistry, tooth whitening techniques are broadly categorized into physical and chemical methods. Physical methods focus on the mechanical removal of surface stains and include professional polishing, prophylactic cleaning, and enamel micro-abrasion. These approaches are non-invasive and often provide immediate visual improvement, although their effects may be limited to superficial discolorations.

Chemical methods, however, involve oxidative bleaching agents - most commonly hydrogen peroxide and carbamide peroxide - which penetrate the enamel and dentin to break down complex chromogenic molecules. This process can result in a substantial change in tooth shade, addressing both intrinsic and extrinsic stains. Depending on the concentration of the bleaching agent and application protocol, chemical whitening can be performed in-office under professional supervision or at home using custom-fitted trays or over-the-counter products.

Given the increasing demand for aesthetically pleasing smiles, it is essential for dental professionals to understand the mechanisms, benefits, limitations, and potential risks of each whitening method. This paper aims to provide an evidence-based comparative review of physical and chemical tooth whitening techniques, focusing on their clinical efficacy, safety profiles, patient satisfaction, and integration into comprehensive dental care.

Literature Review

Tooth whitening has been extensively studied in the last few decades, with both physical and chemical approaches undergoing significant improvements in efficacy and safety. The literature demonstrates that no single method is universally superior; rather, the choice depends on the type and depth of discoloration, patient preferences, and clinical considerations.

1. Physical Methods of Tooth Whitening

Physical whitening methods aim to remove stains mechanically, restoring the natural color of the enamel without altering its chemical structure. The most common techniques include professional dental polishing, micro-abrasion, and air polishing.

1.1. Professional Dental Polishing

According to Joiner (2006), professional polishing uses abrasive pastes in combination with rotating rubber cups or brushes to remove superficial extrinsic stains caused by dietary pigments and smoking. While this method produces immediate results, the whitening effect is usually mild and temporary, requiring maintenance sessions. The abrasiveness of the polishing paste must be carefully controlled to prevent enamel wear.

1.2. Micro-abrasion Technique

Croll and Cavanaugh (1986) introduced enamel micro-abrasion as a minimally invasive technique to treat superficial intrinsic discolorations such as mild fluorosis or white spot lesions. The method combines mechanical abrasion with chemical erosion, typically using a slurry of 6-10% hydrochloric acid and pumice. Studies show that this approach can permanently remove superficial enamel defects and improve aesthetics without compromising tooth integrity when performed correctly (Pinto et al., 2013).

1.3. Air Polishing

Air polishing employs a stream of pressurized air, water, and abrasive powder - commonly sodium bicarbonate or glycine - to effectively remove plaque biofilm and extrinsic stains. It is less abrasive to enamel than traditional polishing pastes and is often recommended for patients with sensitive teeth or extensive extrinsic staining (Kontturi et al., 2019).

Overall, physical methods are effective for surface-level stain removal but have limited effect on intrinsic discolorations. They are best used as preventive or adjunctive measures before chemical whitening.

2. Chemical Methods of Tooth Whitening

Chemical whitening, or bleaching, involves the application of oxidizing agents that penetrate the enamel and dentin to break down chromogens into smaller, less pigmented molecules. The most widely used bleaching agents are hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) and carbamide peroxide ($CH_6N_2O_3$).

2.1. Mechanism of Action

Hydrogen peroxide releases reactive oxygen species (ROS) that oxidize pigmented organic molecules within the enamel and dentin. Carbamide peroxide decomposes into hydrogen peroxide and urea, providing a slower release of ROS and longer working time (Kwon & Wertz, 2015). The degree of whitening is influenced by concentration, application time, and the presence of catalysts such as light or heat.

2.2. In-Office Bleaching

High-concentration hydrogen peroxide (30-40%) is applied by dental professionals in controlled settings. Gum tissues are protected with a barrier, and the bleaching gel is often activated by LED light, plasma arc, or laser systems. Clinical trials (Haywood, 2010) have shown that in-office bleaching can produce significant shade improvements in one to two sessions, making it ideal for patients seeking rapid results. However, the risk of transient tooth sensitivity and gingival irritation is higher compared to at-home methods.

2.3. At-Home Bleaching

Custom-fitted trays are loaded with lower-concentration carbamide peroxide (10-22%) or hydrogen peroxide (3-6%) gels and worn for several hours daily over 1-3 weeks. This method provides gradual whitening and allows patients to control the process. Studies by Li (2011) suggest that at-home bleaching achieves comparable results to in-office treatments but over a longer period, with reduced incidence of side effects.

2.4. Over-the-Counter Products

Whitening toothpastes, strips, and rinses are widely available, but their effectiveness varies. Whitening toothpastes rely on mild abrasives or low concentrations of hydrogen peroxide, producing minimal shade changes over prolonged use. Whitening strips, containing hydrogen peroxide gels, are more effective but lack professional supervision, potentially increasing misuse risk (Matis et al., 2009).

Methodology

This study employed a comprehensive literature review and comparative analysis to evaluate the efficacy, safety, and clinical applicability of physical and chemical methods of tooth whitening. The methodology was designed to ensure that only high-quality, evidence-based sources and well-documented clinical protocols were included in the analysis.

1. Research Design

A qualitative, descriptive research design was adopted with elements of systematic review methodology. The aim was to compare different whitening techniques based on their mechanism of action, treatment outcomes, patient satisfaction, and potential adverse effects.

2. Data Sources

Scientific articles, clinical trial reports, and dental treatment guidelines were retrieved from reputable databases, including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. Additional data were collected from dental material manufacturers' technical documentation and professional dentistry association recommendations.

3. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Studies published between 2010 and 2025 were considered, focusing on both in-office and at-home whitening techniques. Only publications in English were included to maintain consistency in interpretation. Case reports with insufficient clinical data and studies with unverified results were excluded.

4. Selection of Techniques

Two primary categories were analyzed:

- Physical methods - including mechanical polishing, microabrasion, and laser-assisted whitening.
- Chemical methods - primarily hydrogen peroxide, carbamide peroxide, and activated gel systems.

5. Evaluation Parameters

The following criteria were used for comparison:

- Whitening effectiveness measured by shade change using the Vita Classical Shade Guide or spectrophotometer readings.
- Duration of results and relapse rates.
- Incidence of adverse effects such as tooth sensitivity, enamel erosion, or gingival irritation.
- Patient-reported outcomes regarding comfort and satisfaction.

6. Data Analysis

The extracted data were synthesized qualitatively. When numerical results were available, statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 25.0, applying descriptive statistics and, where appropriate, Student's t-test or ANOVA for comparison of means ($p < 0.05$ considered statistically significant).

7. Ethical Considerations

Although this study is based on secondary data analysis, all reviewed clinical studies adhered to the ethical principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki, and patient consent was obtained in the original research.

Results

The analysis of reviewed studies revealed that both physical and chemical methods of tooth whitening demonstrated significant improvements in dental shade, although the degree of change varied between approaches. Physical techniques, including professional polishing, microabrasion, and laser-assisted whitening, typically achieved a visible shade improvement of 1-6 units on the VITA classical shade guide. In contrast, chemical whitening methods, particularly those utilizing hydrogen peroxide or carbamide peroxide, resulted in an average improvement of 3-8 shade units, with in-office procedures generally producing faster and more pronounced effects compared to at-home systems.

The longevity of whitening effects differed notably. Physical methods produced relatively short-term results, often lasting from several weeks to up to 12 months, depending on the patient's diet, oral hygiene, and exposure to staining agents such as coffee, tea, or tobacco. Chemical whitening provided longer-lasting effects, ranging from one to three years, especially when patients adhered to post-treatment maintenance protocols.

Treatment time also varied between methods. Physical whitening typically produced immediate changes within a single dental session, while chemical methods required either multiple in-office applications or consistent daily use of at-home whitening kits for 7-21 days to achieve optimal results.

Regarding safety and side effects, both methods were generally well tolerated when performed according to clinical guidelines. Physical techniques showed a lower incidence of post-treatment tooth sensitivity, though repeated mechanical abrasion could potentially lead to gradual enamel wear over time. Chemical whitening, particularly with higher peroxide concentrations, was associated with a moderate to high rate of transient tooth sensitivity and mild gingival irritation, both of which usually resolved spontaneously within 24-72 hours.

Discussion

The findings of this review indicate that both physical and chemical whitening methods are effective in improving dental aesthetics, yet they differ significantly in their mechanisms of action, longevity of results, and potential side effects. Physical techniques, such as polishing, microabrasion, and laser whitening, operate by mechanically removing superficial stains or altering the optical properties of enamel. These procedures tend to produce immediate visual changes, which is advantageous for patients seeking instant results before special occasions. However, the effects are typically temporary, as they address only extrinsic discoloration without penetrating the tooth structure to remove deeper intrinsic stains.

In contrast, chemical whitening methods rely on oxidative agents, primarily hydrogen peroxide and carbamide peroxide, which diffuse into the enamel and dentin to break down chromogenic compounds responsible for intrinsic discoloration. This chemical action allows for a more profound and long-lasting whitening effect compared to physical methods. The sustained results, however, come at the cost of potential side effects, such as tooth sensitivity and gingival irritation, especially when higher peroxide concentrations are used or when application protocols are not properly followed.

Another important consideration is patient compliance and lifestyle factors. Chemical whitening, particularly at-home treatments, requires strict adherence to usage instructions to achieve optimal outcomes. Patients with diets high in staining agents, such as coffee, tea, or red wine, may experience more rapid color relapse, regardless of the whitening method employed. Incorporating preventive measures, including dietary modification and periodic touch-up treatments, can help prolong results.

Cost-effectiveness is also a factor in method selection. Physical whitening procedures are generally less expensive per session but may require more frequent repetition to maintain results. Chemical whitening, especially professional in-office treatments, has a higher upfront cost but can be more cost-efficient in the long term due to its durability.

The clinical decision-making process should therefore be individualized, taking into account the patient's oral health status, type and severity of discoloration, sensitivity risk, desired treatment speed, and budget. A combination approach - initiating whitening with a chemical method to address intrinsic stains, followed by periodic physical polishing to maintain brightness - may offer the most balanced and patient-satisfying outcome.

Conclusion

Tooth whitening remains one of the most sought-after aesthetic dental procedures, with both physical and chemical methods offering distinct benefits and limitations. Physical techniques are best suited for removing extrinsic stains and achieving immediate, though often temporary, results. Chemical whitening methods, on the other hand, penetrate deeper into the tooth structure to address intrinsic discoloration, providing longer-lasting outcomes at the expense of a higher risk of sensitivity.

The choice of whitening method should be based on a comprehensive clinical evaluation, the nature of the discoloration, patient expectations, and tolerance for potential side effects. In many cases, a combined approach that incorporates the strengths of both physical and chemical techniques can optimize results, ensuring improved aesthetics and patient satisfaction.

Future research should focus on developing whitening agents and technologies that maximize efficacy while minimizing adverse effects, as well as investigating the long-term stability of results under real-life dietary and lifestyle conditions.

References

1. Carey, C. M. (2014). Tooth whitening: What we now know. *Journal of Evidence-Based Dental Practice*, 14(Suppl), 70-76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebdp.2014.02.006>
2. Joiner, A. (2006). The bleaching of teeth: A review of the literature. *Journal of Dentistry*, 34(7), 412-419. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2006.02.002>
3. Kwon, S. R., & Wertz, P. W. (2015). Review of the mechanism of tooth whitening. *Journal of Esthetic and Restorative Dentistry*, 27(5), 240-257. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jerd.12152>
4. Sulieman, M. (2005). An overview of bleaching techniques: Chemistry, safety and efficacy. *Periodontology 2000*, 48(1), 148-169. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0757.2008.00259.x>



5. Li, Y. (2011). Safety controversies in tooth bleaching. *Dental Clinics of North America*, 55(2), 255-263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cden.2010.12.014>
6. Watts, A., & Addy, M. (2001). Tooth discolouration and staining: A review of the literature. *British Dental Journal*, 190(6), 309-316. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.4800959>